

Fundamental Physics with Gravitational Waves

Thomas Hertog









Outline

- Gravitational Waves & Fundamental Physics
- Example: plunges into Gargantua
- Prospects GW science in/for Belgium



Barry C. Barish (Caltech)



Kip S. Thorne (Caltech)



2017 Nobel Prize in Physics

"for decisive contributions to the LIGO detector and the observation of gravitational waves"

Laser Interferometer GW Observatory





Livingston, LA

Hanford, WA

 $\frac{\delta L}{L_*} \sim 10^{-21}$

Nobel Prize Physics, 2017



[LIGO/VIRGO Collaboration, PRL 116, 2016]

A binary black hole merger



$36^{+5}_{-4}M_{\odot}$
$29^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$
$62^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$
$0.67\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$
$410^{+160}_{-180} { m Mpc}$
$0.09\substack{+0.03\\-0.04}$

 $A_{f} \geq A_{1} + A_{2}$

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}} = \frac{c^3}{G} \left[\frac{5}{96} \pi^{-8/3} f^{-11/3} \dot{f} \right]^{3/5} \approx 30 \,\,\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{SUN}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{GW} = \frac{G}{c^5} \left(\frac{d^3Q}{dt^3}\right)^2 \sim \frac{c^5}{G} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^{10} \sim 10^{52} W$$

Gravitational Radiation from Colliding Black Holes

S. W. Hawking

Institute of Theoretical Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England (Received 11 March 1971)

It is shown that there is an upper bound to the energy of the gravitational radiation emitted when one collapsed object captures another. In the case of two objects with equal masses m and zero intrinsic angular momenta, this upper bound is $(2-\sqrt{2})m$.

Weber¹⁻³ has recently reported coinciding measurements of short bursts of gravitational radiation at a frequency of 1660 Hz. These occur at a rate of about one per day and the bursts appear to be coming from the center of the galaxy. It seems likely^{3,4} that the probability of a burst causing a coincidence between Weber's detectors is less than $\frac{1}{10}$. If one allows for this and assumes that the radiation is broadband, one finds that the energy flux in gravitational radiation must be at least 10^{10} erg/cm² day.⁴ This would imply a mass loss from the center of the galaxy of about $20\,000 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$. It is therefore possible that the mass of the galaxy might have been considerably higher in the past than it is now.⁵ This makes it important to estimate the efficiency with which rest-mass energy can be converted into gravitational radiation. Clearly nuclear reactions are

collapsed objects. Up to now no limits on the efficiency of the processes have been known. The object of this Letter is to show that there is a limit for the second process. For the case of two colliding collapsed objects, each of mass mand zero angular momentum, the amount of energy that can be carried away by gravitational or any other form of radiation is less than $(2-\sqrt{2})m$.

I assume the validity of the Carter-Israel conjucture^{6,7} that the metric outside a collapsed object settles down to that of one of the Kerr family of solutions⁸ with positive mass m and angular momentum a per unit mass less than or equal to m. (I am using units in which G = c = 1.) Each of these solutions contains a nonsingular *event hori*zon, two-dimensional sections of which are topographically spheres with area⁹

$$8\pi m \left[m + (m^2 - a^2)^{1/2} \right] \tag{1}$$

$$\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{f}} \ge \mathsf{A}_1 + \mathsf{A}_2$$

Joe Weber



Whether, in the end, he is the first to detect gravitational waves or someone else does it, hardly matters.

He will deserve the credit for leading the way. No one else had the courage **to bring Einstein's equations into the lab** and look for gravitational waves until Weber showed that it was within the realm of the possible.

[J. Wheeler]



Binary neutron star merger



[LIGO/VIRGO Collaboration, PRL 119, 2017]

1 H		Element Origins															2 He
U	4 8e					5 8	0 0	N	0	9 F	10 Ne						
11 Na	12 Mg													15 P	10 15	17 Cl	18 Ar
19 K	20 Ca	21 25	22 Ti	23 V	24 Cř	25 Mn	26 Fe	27 Co	28 Ni	2 2	30 Zn	31 Ga	32 Ge	33 As	34 8e	35 Br	36 Kr
37 Rb	38 Sr	39 Y	40 Zi	41 Nb	42 Mo	43 To	44 Ru	45 Rh	46 Pd	47 Ag	45 Cd	49 In	50 Sn	51 Sb	52 Te	53 1	54 Xe
55 Cs	56 Ba		72 H	73 Ta	74 W	75 Re	76 05	77 k	78 Pt	79 Au	80 Hg	81 TI	82 PD	83 Bi	84 Po	85 A1	86 Rn
87 Fr	88 Ra																
			57	58	59	60'	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
			La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gđ	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
			89 Ac	90 Th	91 Pa	92 U											

Merging Neutron Stars **Dying Low Mass Stars**

Exploding Massive Stars Exploding White Dwarfs Cosmic Ray Fission

Big Bang

This is just the beginning..



Cosmic Microwave Background Polarization B Modes



Gravitational Wave Spectrum

Pulsar Timing



Supermassive BH coalescences

Isotropic GW background

from unresolved

Massive BH coalescences

Small mass/BH infalls

White dwarf binaries in our galaxy

Space-based Interferometers



Compact binary coalescences: neutron stars and black holes

Asymmetric pulsar rotations

Ground-based Interferometers





Laser Interferometer Space Antenna





Multi-band gravitational wave astronomy

Black Hole Spectroscopy



Extreme Mass Ratio Inspirals

 \rightarrow numerical challenge

Gravitational waves from plunges into Gargantua

Geoffrey Compère^{†1}, Kwinten Fransen^{*2}, Thomas Hertog^{*3} and Jiang Long^{†4}

[†] Centre for Gravitational Waves, Université Libre de Bruxelles, International Solvay Institutes, CP 231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
* Centre for Gravitational Waves, Institute for Theoretical Physics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

Abstract

We analytically compute time domain gravitational waveforms produced in the final stages of extreme mass ratio inspirals of non-spinning compact objects into supermassive nearly extremal Kerr black holes. Conformal symmetry relates all corotating equatorial orbits in the geodesic approximation to circular orbits through complex conformal transformations. We use this to obtain the time domain Teukolsky perturbations for generic equatorial corotating plunges in closed form. The resulting gravitational waveforms consist of an intermediate polynomial ringdown phase in which the decay rate depends on the impact parameters, followed by an exponential quasi-normal mode decay. The waveform amplitude exhibits critical behavior when the orbital angular momentum tends to a minimal value determined by the innermost stable circular orbit. We show that either near-critical or large angular momentum leads to a significant extension of the LISA observable volume of gravitational wave sources of this kind.

Near-horizon geometry

[Bardeen & Horowitz (1999)]

$$\Lambda \equiv \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2}{M^2}} \ll 1$$

Near-horizon extremal Kerr (NHEK):

$$ds^{2} = 2M^{2}\Gamma(\theta) \left(-R^{2}dT^{2} + \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2}} + d\theta^{2} + \Lambda^{2}(\theta)(d\Phi + RdT)^{2} \right)$$
$$r_{ISCO} = M + 2^{1/3}\lambda^{2/3}M \longrightarrow R_{ISCO} = 2^{1/3}$$
$$r_{H} = M(1 + \lambda)$$

Very near-horizon extremal Kerr (near-NHEK): $r \sim r_H + M imes \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$

Enhanced (conformal) symmetry SL(2,R) x U(1)

Conformal symmetry

(Complex) conformal transformations relate **all equatorial orbits** to two circular seed orbits (in NHEK and near-NHEK) [Porfyriadis et al.; Hadar et al.]



Asymptotic waveform plunge



- Zero-damped quasi-normal modes in extremal limit
- Polynomial decay, due to coherent mode stacking [Yang et al./ (2014)]
- Near-horizon behavior for $t_r > 10M$
- Transition to exponential decay as $t_r \sim M/\lambda$

Critical behavior

Critical angular momentum $~\ell
ightarrow \ell_* = 2M/\sqrt{3}, e=0$





EM observations of GRS1915+105: $a/M = .98 \pm 0.01$ [Miller et al. (2014)] However: Thorne bound $a/M \le 0.998$

Plunges into Gargantua

[Gralla, Hughes, Warburton (2016); Compère, Long, TH, Fransen (2017)]

Four `smoking gun' signatures:

- extremal frequency $f = 1/4\pi M$
- amplitude suppression $|h| \sim \lambda^{1/3}$ or $\lambda^{1/2}$
- polynomial ringdown $|h| \sim t_r^{-1/2}$ or t_r^{-1}
- critical behavior as angular momentum $I \rightarrow I_*$

 \rightarrow self-force corrections $\sim m_0/M$?

Prospects for GW science in Belgium

- Gravitational Wave Center provides science platform. Join us!
- LISA: Phase A starts April 2018. Three science working groups.

Einstein Telescope:

- 2018: set up Belgian GW exp/instr consortium
- 2019: ET ESFRI proposal
- 2021: NL-BE-DE bid?

★ GRAVITATIONAL WAVE CENTRE

PEOPLE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES OUTREACH CONTACT



RESEARCH

NEWS

OUTREACH

https://fys.kuleuven.be/gwc/